

**THE CONCEPT OF VALUE CHAINS IN AGRICULTURE, CLIMATE ACTION
AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

GLOBAL ISSUES & LOCAL PERSPECTIVES

Edited by

Ignatius Onimawo

Stephen Ibitoye

Zacharia Yaduma

Lucky Onyia

Femi Ajisafe

Eteyen Nyong

Published By:

Society for Agriculture, Environmental Resources & Management (SAEREM)

First published 2024

SAEREM World

Nigeria

C 2023 Eteyen Nyong

Typeset in Times New Roman

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or others means, now, known or hereafter invented including photocopying and recording or in any information storage or retrieved system, without permission in writing from the copyrights owners.

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

**THE CONCEPT OF VALUE CHAINS IN AGRICULTURE, CLIMATE ACTION AND
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES (GLOBAL ISSUES & LOCAL PERSPECTIVES)**

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

Printed at: SAEREM World

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Editorial Note

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement

Dedication

Part one: THE CONCEPT OF VALUE CHAINS IN AGRICULTURE

Chapter One

Enhancing Climate Resilience in Agricultural Value Chains: The Critical Role of Effective Extension Services

¹Mbube, Baridanu Hope, ¹Kolo, Philip Ndeji, ²Nwosu, Chidimma Theresa., & ¹Abdulkadir
Sabo Ahmad

Chapter Two

Sustainable Value Chains in Aquaculture: Leveraging Climate Action and Environmental Resource Management for Resilience and Growth

Victoria Folakemi Akinjogunla

Chapter Three

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

The Impact of Agricultural Chemicals on Human Health: A Value Chain Analysis of Exposure Pathways

¹Dr. Nwizia, Baribefii Paagolah & ²Mbube, Baridanu Hope (Ph.D.)

Chapter Four

Potentials of Local /Scavenging Chicken for Sustainable Protein Production and Poverty Alleviation

Balogun, B.I. PhD

Chapter Five

An Appraisal of Women Participation in Cassava Production and Processing in Ogbia Local Government Area, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Tasie, C.M. and Wilcox, G. I.

Chapter Six

Analysis of Cassava Value Addition and its Constraints in Emohua Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria

G. I. Wilcox and C. M. Tasie

Chapter Seven

The Effects of Poultry Manure and NPK 15:15:15 Inorganic Fertilizer on the Growth of Maize (*Zea mays L.*) in Ibadan Oyo State

¹Omidiran, M.O, ¹Adebisi, A.A, ²Adedokun, D.O and ¹Geplly, O.A

Chapter Eight

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

Environmental Hygiene and Disease Management Along Beef Value Chain.

Azeez, Abdullahi Akinwale (DVM) and Salawu, Mutiat Bukola (PhD)

Chapter Nine

Food safety challenges of antibiotic-resistant foodborne pathogens in street vended foods and report on evolving remedies

^{1,*}Clement Olusola Ogidi, ¹Oluwatoyin Ajoke Oladeji, ²Olubukola Olayemi Olusola-Makinde, and ¹Adeyanmola Oluwaseyi Faturoti

Chapter Ten

The Role of Remittances on Economic Growth in Nigeria 1980-2022 Atiman Kasima Wilson PhD

Part two: THE CONCEPT OF CLIMATE ACTION

Chapter Eleven

Financing Climate-Smart Agriculture for Sustainable Food Security in Nigeria: Practices, Risks, Responses, and Enabling Policies

Odili, Okwuchukwu *Ph.D*^{1*} and Okoro Kelechi Okoro²

Chapter Twelve

Climate Change and Pollution Appraisal: Scientific and Social Approaches

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

¹Salami, K. D., ²Akinyele, A. O., ¹Muhammad, Y. K. and ¹Lukman, A. T.

Chapter Thirteen

Climate Change and Small Holder Agricultural Production in Nigeria

Ettah, O. I. and Edet, E. O.

Chapter Fourteen

Geese Production for Food Security

Balogun, B.I. PhD

Chapter Fifteen

Empirical Analysis Between Inflation and Poverty In Nigeria

Dr. Atiman Kasima Wilson PhD

Chapter Sixteen

Strengthening Climate Resilience and Adaptive Capacity in African Fisheries: Prioritizing Gender Transformation and Inclusive Approaches to Adaptation, Mitigation, and Risk Management

Victoria Folakemi AKINJOGUNLA, Mohammed Sani ISIYAKU and Emmanuel Anietie ESSIEN

Chapter Seventeen

**Strategy to Improve Youth Participation in Large Scale Rice
Production for Food Security and Sustainable Development in Kogi
State.**

Jeremiah Monday Precious, Ejuwa Pius Egemata and Edor Annebal Ene

**Chapter Eighteen
Precision Technology in Agriculture**

Vande, Nguumbur and Sesugh Uker

Chapter Nineteen

**Examination of Manufacturing Sector on Economic Growth in Nigeria
from 1970 – 2015**

Atiman Kasima Wilson PhD

Chapter Twenty

**Food Systems, Nutrition, and Health: A Value Chain Approach to
Addressing Malnutrition**

¹Mbube, Baridanu Hope, ²Adebo, Monisola Omolara ³Abdulsalam Fatima, & ⁴Ntaji
Martha Ngary

**Part three: THE CONCEPT OF VALUE CHAINS AND
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

**Chapter Twenty One
Forest Ecosystem Approach toward Food Security**

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

Adebayo, D.O, Bolaji, K.A, and Akanni, O.F

Chapter Twenty Two

Nutrient Profiling of Avocado (*Persea americana*) and African Pear (*Dacryodes edulis*): A Comparative Study for Food and Nutritional Security

Simpson Victor Bamidele¹, Yusuf Ahmed Saliu², Akemien Nerioya Neri³, Akhiden Lawson Oseigbokan⁴, Alli Sheriffdeen Abiola⁵.

Chapter Twenty Three

Sustainable Poultry Production: The Guinea Fowl Alternative

Balogun, B.I. PhD

Chapter Twenty Four

“A Study on the Anticariogenic Efficacy of Some Ethnobotanical Plants on Oral Bacteria: A Review”

Simpson Victor Bamidele¹, Akemien Nerioya Neri², Akhiden Lawson Oseigbokan³, Alli Sheriffdeen Abiola⁴, Adeleye Opeyemi Adebola⁵.

Chapter Twenty Five

Resilience and Restoration: Tropical Ecosystems in the Face of Human Impact

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

^{1,4}Salami, K.D. ²Akinyele, A.O. ¹Lawal, A. A. ³Abubakar, A. W. ¹Jibo, A. U.

^{3,4}Adeniyi, K. A.

Chapter Twenty Six

Effect of Tigernut on Reproductive Indices of *Clarias Gariepinus*

¹Tusayi, B.W, ²Onyia, L.U., ³Musa, M., ⁴Bello, H.A, and ⁵Ndibrimta, N.

Chapter Twenty Seven

Assessing Agroforestry Practices Impact on Environment, Income and Food Production In Southwest Nigeria.

Bolaji K.A., Jatto K.A and Adebayo D.O.

Chapter Twenty Eight

Breaking Barriers: Gender Dynamics and Opportunities for Women's Empowerment in Agricultural Value Chains

¹Mbube, Baridanu Hope, ²Odekunmi, Seyi Adeloba, ³Utoko, Vincent Agu & Usman, Christiana Ilebaye

Chapter Twenty Nine

Ecological Perspectives on Reducing Post-Harvest Losses in Agricultural Value Chains: Implications for Climate Action and Environmental Sustainability

¹Mbube, Baridanu Hope, ²Abdulsalam, Rabiu Anate, ³Ojumu Adedotun Omobayo & ⁴Moses, Nueebu Mon

Preface

This book adopts an exegetical approach as well as a pedagogic model, making it attractive agriculture and environmental economics teachers, professional practitioners and scholars. It eschews pedantry and lays bare the issues in such clarity that conduces to learning. The book elaborates on contemporaneous *The Concept of Value Chains in Agriculture, Climate Action and Environmental Resources* issues of global significance and at the same time, is mindful of local or national perspectives making it appealing both to international and national interests. The book explores the ways in which climate change, food security, national security and environmental resources issues are and should be presented to increase the public's stock of knowledge, increase awareness about burning issues and empower the scholars and public to engage in the participatory dialogue climate change, food security, national security and environmental resources necessary in policy making process that will stimulate increase in food production and environmental sustainability.

The Concept of Value Chains in Agriculture, Climate Action and Environmental Resources: Global issues and Local Perspectives is organized in three parts. Part One deals with The Concept of Value Chains in Agriculture, Part Two is concerned with The Concept of Climate Actions and Part Three deals with the Concept of Value Chains and Environmental Resources.

Eteyen Nyong/ Ignatius Onimawo

April 2025

Chapter Twenty Nine

Ecological Perspectives on Reducing Post-Harvest Losses in Agricultural Value Chains: Implications for Climate Action and Environmental Sustainability

¹Mbube, Baridanu Hope, ²Abdulsalam, Rabiun Anate, ³Ojumu Adedotun Omobayo &
⁴Moses, Nueebu Mon

¹Department of Agricultural Extension and Management

²Department of Crop Production Technology

³Department of Agribusiness Management Technology

⁴Department of Agricultural Engineering

Federal College of Land Resources Technology Kuru-Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria

Chapter Outline

I. Introduction

- Definition of post-harvest losses
- impact of post-harvest losses on food security and sustainability
- Overview of the ecological perspective on post-harvest losses
- Chapter objectives and scope

II. The Ecology of Post-Harvest Losses

- Environmental factors contributing to post-harvest losses (temperature, humidity, pests, diseases)
- Biological factors contributing to post-harvest losses (microbial spoilage, insect infestations)

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

- Socio-economic factors contributing to post-harvest losses (poor handling, storage, and transportation practices)

III. Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetables

- Overview of post-harvest losses in vegetable crops (types, extent, and causes)
- Ecological factors contributing to post-harvest losses in vegetables (e.g., moisture, temperature, ethylene production)
- Examples of vegetable crops prone to post-harvest losses (e.g., leafy greens, tomatoes, cucumbers)

IV. Ecological Approaches to Reducing Post-Harvest Losses

- Ecological storage practices (e.g., silos, warehouses, and other storage facilities)
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies for reducing pest and disease damage
- Climate-resilient packaging and transportation systems
- Value chain innovations for reducing food waste

V. Case Studies: Ecological Solutions in Practice

- Examples of successful ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses from around the world
- Analysis of the benefits and challenges of implementing ecological solutions

VI. Policy and Practice Implications

- Discussion of the policy and practice implications of adopting ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses
- Recommendations for stakeholders (farmers, policymakers, industry leaders)

VII. CONCLUSION

- Summary of key points
- Future directions for research and practice

I. Introduction

Post-harvest losses refer to the decrease in edible food mass throughout the part of the supply chain that specifically leads to edible food for human consumption (FAO, 2017). These losses can occur at various stages, including harvesting, handling, storage, transportation, and packaging. Post-harvest losses are a significant concern globally, affecting not only food security but also sustainability and the environment.

The impact of post-harvest losses on food security and sustainability is substantial. An estimated one-third of all food produced globally is lost or wasted (FAO, 2017). In developing countries, post-harvest losses can account for up to 50% of total food production (FAO, 2019). These losses exacerbate food insecurity, particularly among vulnerable populations. Furthermore, post-harvest losses contribute to environmental degradation, waste management issues, and economic losses for farmers and the food industry.

From an ecological perspective, post-harvest losses are influenced by various factors, including environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, and light), biological factors (microorganisms, insects, and physiological changes), and socio-economic factors (handling, storage, transportation, and market practices). Understanding these factors is crucial for developing effective strategies to reduce post-harvest losses.

This chapter aims to provide an overview of the ecological perspectives on post-harvest losses, with a focus on agricultural value chains. The specific objectives of this chapter are:

1. To define and discuss the concept of post-harvest losses
2. To examine the impact of post-harvest losses on food security and sustainability
3. To explore the ecological factors contributing to post-harvest losses
4. To discuss ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses in agricultural value chains

The scope of this chapter is limited to post-harvest losses in agricultural value chains, with a focus on ecological perspectives and approaches. The chapter will draw on existing literature and case studies to illustrate key concepts and strategies.

II. The Ecology of Post-Harvest Losses

Post-harvest losses are influenced by a complex array of ecological factors, which can be broadly categorized into environmental, biological, and socio-economic factors (FAO, 2011). Understanding these factors is crucial for developing effective strategies to reduce post-harvest losses.

A. Environmental Factors Contributing to Post-Harvest Losses

Environmental factors play a significant role in post-harvest losses, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. The main environmental factors contributing to post-harvest losses according to Kiaya (2014) and Bendinelli, Su, Péra, and Caixeta (2020), include: temperature, humidity, pest and disease.

1. Temperature: Temperature is a critical environmental factor that significantly impacts post-harvest losses. Both high and low temperatures can cause damage to agricultural products, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.

High Temperatures: High temperatures can accelerate the ripening process, leading to spoilage and reduced shelf life. This is because many agricultural products, such as fruits and vegetables, continue to respire after harvest, producing heat and ethylene gas. High temperatures can stimulate this process, causing the product to ripen and spoil more quickly.

Low Temperatures: Conversely, low temperatures can cause chilling injuries, leading to spoilage and reduced quality. Chilling injuries occur when products are exposed to temperatures below their critical temperature, causing damage to the cells and tissues. This can lead to a range of symptoms, including:

- Discoloration: Products may become discoloured or develop off-colours.

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

- Softening: Products may become soft or mushy.
- Decay: Products may decay or rot.
- Reduced quality: Products may have reduced texture, flavour, or nutritional value.

Optimal Temperature Range: To minimize post-harvest losses, it is essential to maintain products within their optimal temperature range. This range varies depending on the specific product, but generally falls between:

- 0°C to 5°C: For products like apples, pears, and potatoes.
- 5°C to 10°C: For products like carrots, broccoli, and cauliflower.
- 10°C to 15°C: For products like tomatoes, cucumbers, and squash.

Controlling humidity levels within optimal ranges is key to reducing post-harvest losses. This helps growers, handlers, and retailers preserve product freshness and quality over an extended period (Cantwell, and Suslow, 2002).

2. Humidity: Humidity is another crucial environmental factor that significantly impacts post-harvest losses. Both high and low humidity levels can cause damage to agricultural products, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.

High Humidity: High humidity can lead to moisture accumulation, creating an ideal environment for microbial growth and spoilage. When the air is full of moisture, it can:

- Promote fungal growth: Fungi thrive in humid environments, causing decay and spoilage.
- Encourage bacterial growth: Bacteria also multiply rapidly in humid conditions, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.
- Increase ethylene production: High humidity can stimulate ethylene production, accelerating ripening and senescence.

Low Humidity: Low humidity, on the other hand, can cause dehydration and reduced quality. When the air is too dry:

- Dehydration occurs: Products lose moisture, leading to shrivelling, wrinkling, and reduced texture.
- Water stress increases: Low humidity can cause water stress, leading to reduced quality and increased susceptibility to decay.
- Flavour and aroma are affected: Dehydration can alter the flavour and aroma of products, reducing their quality and market value.

Optimal Humidity Range: To minimize post-harvest losses, it's essential to maintain products within their optimal humidity range. This range varies depending on the specific product, but generally falls between:

- 80-90% RH: For products like leafy greens, broccoli, and cauliflower.
- 70-80% RH: For products like apples, pears, and potatoes.
- 60-70% RH: For products like tomatoes, cucumbers, and squash.

Controlling humidity levels within optimal ranges is key to reducing post-harvest losses. This helps growers, handlers, and retailers preserve product freshness and quality over an extended period.

3. Pests: Pests, such as insects and rodents, can cause significant damage to crops during storage and transportation. The main types of pests include:

Types of Pests

- Insects: Insects like beetles, moths, and weevils can infest stored grains, seeds, and fruits, causing damage and contamination.
- Rodents: Rodents like rats and mice can gnaw through packaging, damage stored products, and contaminate them with their droppings and urine.
- Other pests: Other pests like mites, spiders, and birds can also cause damage to stored crops.

Damage Caused by Pests

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

- Physical damage: Pests can cause physical damage to stored products, leading to losses and reduced quality.
- Contamination: Pests can contaminate stored products with their droppings, urine, and body parts, making them unfit for human consumption.
- Moisture accumulation: Pests can contribute to moisture accumulation in stored products, creating an ideal environment for mould growth and spoilage.

Management Strategies

- Cleanliness and sanitation: Maintaining cleanliness and sanitation in storage facilities and transportation vehicles can help prevent pest infestations.
- Pest monitoring: Regular monitoring of stored products for pest infestations can help detect problems early, reducing damage and losses.
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM): Implementing IPM strategies, such as using traps, baits, and biological control methods, can help manage pest populations and reduce damage to stored crops.
- Sealed storage: Storing products in sealed containers or bags can help prevent pest infestations and reduce damage.
- Fumigation: Fumigating stored products with insecticides or other gases can help control pest populations and reduce damage.

Best Practices

- Inspect products before storage: Inspect products for pest infestations before storing them to prevent the spread of pests.
- Use pest-resistant packaging: Use packaging materials that are resistant to pest infestations, such as insect-proof bags or containers.
- Maintain storage facilities: Regularly maintain storage facilities to prevent pest infestations, including cleaning and sanitizing the area.

- Train staff: Train staff on pest management strategies and best practices to ensure that they can identify and manage pest infestations effectively.
4. **Diseases:** Fungal and bacterial diseases can spread rapidly during storage and transportation, leading to spoilage and reduced quality (Karanth, Feng, Patra, and Pradhan, 2023).

B. Biological Factors Contributing to Post-Harvest Losses

Biological factors, including microbial spoilage and insect infestations, can cause significant post-harvest losses. These factors can affect crops during storage, transportation, and handling, leading to reduced quality, spoilage, and economic losses.

1. Microbial Spoilage: Microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, can cause spoilage and decay of crops during storage and transportation. Microbial spoilage can occur due to various factors, including:

- High moisture content: Excess moisture can create an ideal environment for microbial growth.
- Temperature fluctuations: Temperature changes can stimulate microbial growth and activity.
- Contamination: Contamination from soil, water, or handling equipment can introduce microorganisms to the crop.
- Inadequate sanitation: Poor sanitation practices can spread microorganisms and facilitate spoilage (Kitinoja Alviar, and Maghirang, 2020), microbial spoilage is a major cause of post-harvest losses, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions.

Some common types of microbial spoilage include:

i. **Bacterial soft rot:** Bacterial soft rot is a type of microbial spoilage caused by bacteria known as *Erwinia* and *Pectobacterium* (genus of bacteria that includes several species that cause soft rot in plants), that break down the cell walls of plant tissues, leading to softening and decay.

The symptoms of bacterial soft rot include:

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

- Softening and mushiness of plant tissues
- Water-soaked lesions or spots on the surface of the plant
- Slime production, which can be visible as a sticky or slimy substance on the surface of the plant
- Unpleasant odours
- Eventual collapse of the plant tissue

Factors that contribute to bacterial soft rot include:

- High temperatures and humidity
- Physical damage to plant tissue
- Poor sanitation and hygiene
- Contaminated water or soil

Prevention and Control: To prevent and control bacterial soft rot, it's essential to:

- Maintain good sanitation and hygiene practices
- Use clean and disinfected equipment and tools
- Avoid physical damage to plant tissues
- Store plants in a cool, dry place
- Use bactericides or other control measures as needed

ii. Fungal decay: Fungal decay is a type of spoilage caused by fungi that infect plant tissues, leading to a range of symptoms including:

- Rot: Softening and breakdown of plant tissues
- Mould: Visible growth of fungal hyphae on the surface of the plant
- Discoloration: Changes in colour, such as yellowing, browning, or blackening of plant tissues

Some common fungi that cause decay include:

- *Botrytis*: Causes grey mould and rot in plants

- *Aspergillus*: Produces toxins and causes decay in plants

Fungal decay can be facilitated by factors such as:

- High humidity
- Warm temperatures
- Physical damage to plant tissues
- Poor ventilation
- Contaminated water or soil

This is in accordance with FAO (2019), which states that fungal growth and decay are favoured by high humidity, warm temperatures, and poor ventilation.

iii. Other Biological Factors

Other biological factors that can contribute to post-harvest losses include:

- *Rodents*: Rodents can cause significant damage to crops during storage and transportation.
- *Birds*: Birds can cause damage to crops, particularly fruits and vegetables, during storage and transportation.
- *Nematodes*: Nematodes are microscopic worms that can infest crops, causing damage and spoilage.

Management Strategies

To minimize post-harvest losses due to biological factors, various management strategies can be employed, including:

- **Sanitation and hygiene**: Maintaining cleanliness and hygiene during handling, storage, and transportation can help prevent contamination.
- **Packaging and storage**: Using proper packaging and storage techniques can help prevent insect infestations and microbial spoilage.
- **Temperature and humidity control**: Maintaining optimal temperature and humidity levels can help prevent insect activity and microbial growth.

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

- Pest control measures: Implementing pest control measures, such as insecticides and traps, can help manage insect infestations.
- Monitoring and inspection: Regular monitoring and inspection of crops during storage and transportation can help detect biological problems early, reducing the risk of post-harvest losses.

2. Insect Infestations

Insects, such as beetles and moths, can cause significant damage to crops during storage and transportation (Phillips, Throne and Reed, 2019). Insect infestations can occur due to various factors, including:

- Contamination: Contamination from soil, water, or handling equipment can introduce insects to the crop.
- Inadequate packaging: Poorly sealed or ventilated packaging can allow insects to enter and infest the crop.
- Temperature and humidity: Temperature and humidity fluctuations can stimulate insect activity and reproduction.

According to Fields and Muir (2010), insect infestations can cause significant losses to stored grains and other agricultural products, highlighting the importance of proper storage and handling practices.

Some common types of insect infestations include:

- Grain weevils: Small beetles that infest grains and seeds, causing damage and contamination.
- Fruit flies: Small flies that infest fruits and vegetables, causing damage and spoilage.
- Moths: Various species of moths that infest grains, seeds, and fruits, causing damage and contamination.

- Aphids: Small, soft-bodied insects that infest plants, feeding on sap and causing curled or distorted leaves, and potentially transmitting plant viruses.
- Cockroaches: Large, disease-carrying insects that infest food storage areas and spread disease.
- Beetles: Various species of beetles that infest grains, seeds, fruits, and vegetables, causing damage and contamination.

C. Socio-Economic Factors Contributing to Post-Harvest Losses

Socio-economic factors, including poor handling, storage, and transportation practices, can contribute significantly to post-harvest losses. These factors can affect crops during handling, storage, transportation, and marketing, leading to reduced quality, spoilage, and economic losses.

1. Substandard handling techniques

Rough handling, poor sealed packaging, and insufficient training can lead to damage and spoilage during handling and transportation. Some common poor handling practices include:

- Rough handling: Dropping, throwing, or dragging crops can cause physical damage, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.
- Poor sealed packaging: Poorly designed or substandard packaging can fail to protect crops from damage, moisture, and pests.
- Insufficient training: Handlers may not have the necessary skills or knowledge to handle crops properly, leading to damage and spoilage.

2. Substandard Storage Condition

Substandard storage facilities, including poor ventilation, temperature control, and pest management, can lead to spoilage and reduced quality. Typical problems encountered in storage facilities are:

- Insufficient Air Movement: Insufficient airflow can lead to moisture buildup, causing deterioration and decreased quality.

- Temperature control: Failure to maintain optimal temperatures can stimulate microbial growth, insect activity, and chemical reactions, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.
- Pest management: Inadequate pest control measures can allow pests to infest stored crops, causing damage and contamination.

3. Inefficient Transportation Systems

Inefficient transportation systems, including poor road conditions, inadequate refrigeration, and insufficient monitoring, can lead to spoilage and reduced quality. Some common issues with transportation systems include:

- Poor road conditions: Rough roads can cause physical damage to crops during transportation.
- Inadequate refrigeration: Failure to maintain optimal temperatures during transportation can stimulate microbial growth, insect activity, and chemical reactions, leading to spoilage and reduced quality.
- Insufficient monitoring: Failure to monitor crops during transportation can lead to delayed detection of problems, allowing spoilage to occur.

4. Lack of Market Access

Limited market access, including inadequate market information, poor infrastructure, and insufficient market demand, can lead to reduced prices, spoilage, and reduced quality. Some common issues related to market access include:

- Inadequate market information: Farmers may not have access to accurate market information, making it difficult to determine optimal prices and timing for sales.
- Poor infrastructure: Inadequate transportation infrastructure, storage facilities, and market facilities can make it difficult to get crops to market efficiently.
- Insufficient market demand: Limited market demand can lead to reduced prices, making it difficult for farmers to generate a profit.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (2011), one-third of all food produced globally is lost or wasted, with a significant portion of these losses occurring during the post-harvest phase due to socio-economic factors.

Recognizing the intricate relationships between environmental, biological, and socio-economic factors that contribute to post-harvest losses, stakeholders can design effective solutions to minimize losses, enhance food security, promote sustainability, and ensure economic viability.

III. Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetables

Vegetables are highly perishable crops that are susceptible to significant post-harvest losses. These losses can occur due to various ecological factors, handling practices, and storage conditions.

A. Overview of Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetable Crops

Post-harvest losses in vegetable crops are a significant concern globally, resulting in substantial economic, environmental, and food security impacts. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), post-harvest losses in vegetable crops can range from 20% to 50% of total production (FAO, 2017).

Factors Contributing to Post-Harvest Losses: These losses can be attributed to various factors, including:

1. Physical Damage

Mechanical injuries during harvesting, handling, and transportation can lead to spoilage and reduced quality. Physical damage can cause:

- Bruising and crushing of vegetables
- Breakage of stems and leaves
- Damage to the skin or peel, making vegetables more susceptible to moisture loss and spoilage

2. Moisture Loss

Vegetables with high water content are prone to moisture loss, leading to:

- Wilting and shrivelling
- Reduced quality and texture
- Increased susceptibility to spoilage and decay

3. Temperature Fluctuations

Temperature fluctuations during storage and transportation can:

- Accelerate spoilage and reduce shelf life
- Cause chilling injuries or heat damage
- Affect the texture, flavour, and nutritional value of vegetables

4. Pests and Diseases

Pests and diseases can cause significant damage to vegetables during storage and transportation, including:

- Infestations by insects, mites, or rodents
- Fungal or bacterial diseases that can spread quickly
- Contamination by pathogens or toxins

Consequences of Post-Harvest Losses

The consequences of post-harvest losses in vegetable crops are far-reaching, including:

- Economic losses for farmers, traders, and consumers
- Food insecurity and reduced access to nutritious food
- Environmental impacts, such as increased greenhouse gas emissions and water usage
- Reduced availability and quality of vegetables, affecting human health and well-being.

B. Ecological Factors Contributing to Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetables

Several ecological factors contribute to post-harvest losses in vegetables, including:

1. **Moisture:** High humidity can lead to moisture accumulation, creating an ideal environment for: Microbial growth and spoilage, Fungal and bacterial diseases, Increased respiration rates, and leading to faster senescence (aging).

Optimal relative humidity (RH) levels for storing vegetables vary depending on the type, but generally range from 80-90% RH.

2. **Temperature:** Temperature fluctuations can accelerate spoilage and reduce shelf life, cause chilling injuries or heat damage, affect the texture, flavour, and nutritional value of vegetables.

Optimal storage temperatures for vegetables vary depending on the type, but generally range from:

- Chilling-sensitive vegetables (e.g., tomatoes, cucumbers): 10-15°C (50-59°F)
- Chilling-tolerant vegetables (e.g., carrots, potatoes): 0-5°C (32-41°F)

3. **Ethylene Production:** Vegetables, such as tomatoes and cucumbers, produce ethylene gas, which can:

- Stimulate ripening and spoilage
- Increase respiration rates, leading to faster senescence (aging)
- Affect the texture, flavour, and nutritional value of vegetables

Ethylene production can be managed through:

- i. **Aeration:** This method removes ethylene gas from storage, lowers its concentration, and slows ripening. Aeration can be achieved using natural ventilation, fans, or mechanical systems.
- ii. **Ethylene-absorbing materials:** Substances such as silica gel, activated carbon, and potassium permanganate absorb ethylene gas, decreasing its concentration in storage. These materials can be incorporated into packaging, storage containers, or storage rooms.
- iii. **Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP):** This technique replaces air in packaging with a specific gas mixture, usually a combination of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen. MAP

helps reduce ethylene production, slows ripening, and prevents spoilage, making it common for packaging fresh produce like fruits and vegetables.

4. Light Exposure: Light exposure can significantly impact the quality and shelf life of vegetables. The effects of light exposure include:

- i. *Stimulates Chlorophyll Breakdown:* Light exposure can trigger the breakdown of chlorophyll, leading to a loss of green colour and reduced nutritional value.
- ii. *Increases Ethylene Production:* Light exposure can stimulate ethylene production, promoting ripening and spoilage.
- iii. *Affects Texture, Flavour, and Nutritional Value:* Light exposure can alter the texture, flavour, and nutritional value of vegetables, making them less desirable for consumption.

Managing Light Exposure

To minimize the negative effects of light exposure, vegetables can be managed through:

- *Storing in Dark or Shaded Areas:* Storing vegetables in dark or shaded areas can reduce light exposure and slow down spoilage.
- *Using Light-Blocking Packaging Materials:* Using light-blocking packaging materials, such as paper bags or cardboard boxes, can reduce light exposure during storage and transportation.
- *Controlling Light Intensity and Duration:* Controlling light intensity and duration during storage and transportation can help minimize the negative effects of light exposure.

Effective light exposure management enables vegetable growers, handlers, and retailers to preserve vegetable quality and shelf life, minimize food waste, and enhance consumer satisfaction.

C. Examples of Vegetable Crops Prone to Post-Harvest Losses

Several vegetable crops are prone to post-harvest losses, including:

- *Leafy greens:* Leafy greens, such as lettuce and spinach, are highly perishable and susceptible to moisture loss and physical damage.

- *Tomatoes*: Tomatoes are prone to spoilage due to ethylene production, moisture loss, and physical damage.
- *Cucumbers*: Cucumbers are susceptible to moisture loss, physical damage, and spoilage due to ethylene production.
- *Carrots*: Carrots are prone to spoilage due to moisture loss, physical damage, and temperature fluctuations.

Identifying and addressing the ecological factors driving post-harvest losses in vegetables allows stakeholders to develop informed strategies, mitigating losses and fostering a more food-secure, sustainable, and economically resilient food system.

IV. Ecological Approaches to Reducing Post-Harvest Losses

Reducing post-harvest losses requires a multi-faceted approach that incorporates ecological principles and practices (FAO, 2017). This section discusses ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses, including ecological storage practices, Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies, climate-resilient packaging and transportation systems, and value chain innovations.

A. Ecological Storage Practices

Ecological storage practices aim to maintain optimal storage conditions, reduce energy consumption, and promote sustainable agriculture practices (Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE), 2020). Some examples of ecological storage practices include:

1. *Silos*: Silos are structures designed for storing grains, seeds, or other bulk materials. They provide a controlled environment, protecting stored products from pests, diseases, and weather conditions.
2. *Warehouses*: Warehouses are buildings designed for storing goods, including agricultural products. They provide a secure, climate-controlled environment, reducing the risk of spoilage and damage.

3. *Other storage facilities*: In addition to silos and warehouses, other storage facilities can be designed and managed to provide ecological storage conditions. These include: barns, sheds, and containers, can also be designed and managed to provide ecological storage conditions (Kader, 2013).
- i. *Barns*: Barns can be used for storing hay, grains, and other agricultural products. To provide ecological storage conditions, barns can be designed with features such as:
- Natural ventilation systems to reduce moisture and heat buildup
 - Insulation to regulate temperature and reduce energy consumption
 - Pest control measures, such as screens and seals, to prevent pest infestations.
- ii. *Sheds*: Sheds can be used for storing equipment, tools, and other agricultural supplies. To provide ecological storage conditions, sheds can be designed with features such as:
- Rainwater harvesting systems to reduce water consumption
 - Solar-powered lighting and ventilation systems to reduce energy consumption
 - Durable, low-maintenance materials to reduce waste and extend the lifespan of the shed
- iii. *Containers*: Containers can be used for storing a variety of agricultural products, including grains, seeds, and equipment. To provide ecological storage conditions, containers can be designed with features such as:
- Insulation to regulate temperature and reduce energy consumption
 - Moisture-control systems to prevent spoilage and damage
 - Secure locking systems to prevent theft and tampering

These facilities can reduce energy consumption, promote sustainable agriculture practices, and minimize environmental impacts.

B. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Strategies

IPM strategies aim to manage pests and diseases in an integrated, holistic approach, minimizing the use of chemical pesticides and maintaining ecosystem balance (FAO, 2018). Some IPM strategies for reducing pest and disease damage include:

1. *Crop rotation*: Crop rotation involves rotating crops to break the life cycle of pests and diseases.
2. *Biological control*: Biological control involves using natural predators or parasites to control pest populations.
3. *Cultural control*: Cultural control involves modifying agricultural practices, such as pruning, sanitation, and irrigation management, to prevent pest and disease infestations.

C. Climate-Resilient Packaging and Transportation Systems

Climate-resilient packaging and transportation systems aim to protect agricultural products from environmental stressors, such as temperature, humidity, and light, during transportation and storage (Opara, 2020). Some examples of climate-resilient packaging and transportation systems include:

1. *Modified atmosphere packaging*: Modified atmosphere packaging involves modifying the atmosphere surrounding the product to extend shelf life.
2. *Insulated containers*: Insulated containers provide thermal insulation, reducing temperature fluctuations during transportation.
3. *Refrigerated transportation*: Refrigerated transportation involves using refrigerated vehicles or containers to maintain optimal temperatures during transportation.

D. Value Chain Innovations for Reducing Food Waste

Value chain innovations aim to reduce food waste by improving efficiency, reducing losses, and promoting sustainable practices throughout the value chain (High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition [HLPE], 2014). Some examples of value chain innovations include:

SAEREM BOOK CHAPTERS First Published 2025 ISBN 978-978-60709-7-1

1. *Supply chain optimization*: Supply chain optimization involves analysing and optimizing logistics, transportation, and storage practices to reduce losses and improve efficiency.
2. *Food recovery*: Food recovery involves recovering surplus food from the supply chain and redistributing it to those in need.
3. *Sustainable packaging*: Sustainable packaging involves using biodegradable, recyclable, or reusable packaging materials to reduce waste and environmental impact. The adoption of these ecological approaches can help reduce post-harvest losses, and stakeholders can promote sustainable agriculture practices, reduce food waste, and improve food security and sustainability (FAO, 2017).

V. Case Studies: Ecological Solutions in Practice

This section presents case studies of successful ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses from around the world. These examples demonstrate the effectiveness of ecological solutions in reducing food waste, improving food security, and promoting sustainable agriculture practices.

A. Examples of successful ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses from around the world

Case Study 1: Zero-Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) in Afghanistan

The Zero-Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) is a low-cost, passive cooling system designed to store fruits and vegetables. In Afghanistan, the ZECC has been successfully implemented, reducing post-harvest losses by up to 50%. The ZECC uses natural ventilation and shading to maintain a cool temperature, extending the shelf life of stored produce.

Case Study 2: Solar-Powered Cold Storage in Kenya

In Kenya, a solar-powered cold storage system has been implemented to reduce post-harvest losses of perishable crops. The system uses solar panels to generate electricity, powering a cold storage

unit that maintains a temperature of around 10°C. This has reduced post-harvest losses by up to 30%.

Case Study 3: Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in India

In India, an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach has been successfully implemented to reduce post-harvest losses of rice and wheat. The IPM approach involves using a combination of cultural, biological, and chemical controls to manage pests and diseases. This has reduced post-harvest losses by up to 25%.

B. Analysis of the benefits and challenges of implementing ecological solutions

The case studies presented above demonstrate the effectiveness of ecological approaches in reducing post-harvest losses. The benefits of these approaches include:

- Reduced food waste and post-harvest losses
- Improved food security and availability
- Increased income for farmers and rural communities
- Reduced environmental impact of agriculture

However, there are also challenges associated with implementing ecological solutions, including:

- High upfront costs of implementing new technologies
- Limited access to credit and financing for small-scale farmers
- Limited availability of technical expertise and training
- Cultural and social barriers to adopting new practices

The case studies presented in this section demonstrate the potential of ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses. While there are challenges associated with implementing these approaches, the benefits of reduced food waste, improved food security, and increased income for farmers and rural communities make them a valuable investment for sustainable agriculture development.

VI. Policy and Practice Implications

The adoption of ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses has significant policy and practice implications for various stakeholders, including farmers, policymakers, and industry leaders. This section discusses these implications and provides recommendations for stakeholders.

A. Policy and practice implications of adopting ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses

1. Policy implication

- i. *Support for Ecological Agriculture Practices:* Policymakers should provide incentives and support for farmers to adopt ecological agriculture practices, such as organic farming and agroforestry.
- ii. *Investment in Infrastructure:* Governments should invest in infrastructure, such as storage facilities, transportation networks, and markets, to reduce post-harvest losses.
- iii. *Regulatory Frameworks:* Policymakers should establish regulatory frameworks that promote the use of ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.
- iv. *Research and Development:* Governments should support research and development in ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.

2. Practice Implications

- i. *Adoption of Ecological Storage Practices:* Farmers and industry leaders should adopt ecological storage practices, such as using natural ventilation and shading, to reduce post-harvest losses.
- ii. *Integrated Pest Management (IPM):* Farmers should adopt IPM practices, such as using biological control and cultural controls, to reduce pest and disease damage.
- iii. *Supply Chain Optimization:* Industry leaders should optimize supply chains to reduce post-harvest losses, including improving transportation networks and storage facilities.

- iv. *Training and Capacity Building*: Farmers, industry leaders, and policymakers should receive training and capacity building on ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.

B. Recommendations for Stakeholders

1. *Farmers*: Adopt ecological agriculture practices, such as organic farming and agroforestry, and use ecological storage practices to reduce post-harvest losses.
2. *Policymakers*: Provide incentives and support for farmers to adopt ecological agriculture practices, invest in infrastructure, and establish regulatory frameworks that promote ecological approaches.
3. *Industry Leaders*: Adopt supply chain optimization strategies, invest in ecological storage practices, and provide training and capacity building for farmers and other stakeholders.
4. *Researchers and Academia*: Conduct research and development in ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses and provide training and capacity building for stakeholders.

Reducing post-harvest losses through ecological approaches enables stakeholders to play a vital role in creating a more sustainable and food-secure future (Khan, Siddiqui, Siddique., et al., 2024).

VII. Conclusion

A. Summary of key points

This chapter has explored the ecological perspectives on reducing post-harvest losses in agricultural value chains. The key points from this chapter can be summarized as follows:

- Post-harvest losses are a significant problem in agricultural value chains, affecting food security, sustainability, and economic viability.
- Ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses offer a promising solution, focusing on the use of natural resources, minimizing waste, and promoting sustainable agriculture practices.

- Ecological storage practices, Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies, climate-resilient packaging and transportation systems, and value chain innovations are effective ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.
- Case studies from around the world demonstrate the effectiveness of ecological approaches in reducing post-harvest losses and improving food security and sustainability.

B. Future Directions for Research and Practice

While this chapter has highlighted the potential of ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses, further research and practice are needed to scale up these approaches and address the complex challenges of post-harvest losses. Some potential future directions for research and practice include:

1. *Scaling up ecological approaches:* Further research is needed to scale up ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses, including the development of new technologies and business models.
2. *Integrating ecological approaches with other sustainability initiatives:* Ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses should be integrated with other sustainability initiatives, such as organic farming and fair-trade practices.
3. *Developing policy and regulatory frameworks:* Policymakers and regulators should develop frameworks that support the adoption of ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.
4. *Building capacity and providing training:* Stakeholders, including farmers, industry leaders, and policymakers, need training and capacity building on ecological approaches to reducing post-harvest losses.

These future directions offer stakeholders a pathway to reduce post-harvest losses, improve food security and sustainability, and promote ecological agriculture practices.

References

- Bendinelli, W. E., Su, C. T., Péra, T. G., & Caixeta Filho, J. V. (2020). What are the main factors that determine post-harvest losses of grains? *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 21, 228-238. doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2019.09.002
- Cantwell, M., & Suslow, T. (2002). Postharvest handling systems: Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables. In *Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops* (pp. 445-464). University of California.
- FAO (2017). *The Future of Food and Agriculture: Trends and Challenges*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Fields, P. G., & Muir, W. E. (2010). Stored-product insect pests. In *Stored Product Protection* (pp. 77-98). Springer.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2018). *Integrated Pest Management (IPM)*.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2017). *The Future of Food and Agriculture: Trends and Challenges*. Rome: FAO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2019). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World*. Rome: FAO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste*. FAO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). *Prevention of Post-Harvest Food Losses*. Rome: FAO.

- High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition [HLPE]. (2014). Food losses and waste in the context of sustainable food systems. Rome: FAO.
- Kader, A. A. (2013). Postharvest technology of horticultural crops. University of California, Davis
- Karant, S., Feng, S., Patra, D., & Pradhan, A. K. (2023). Linking microbial contamination to food spoilage and food waste: The role of smart packaging, spoilage risk assessments, and date labelling. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1198124.
- Khan, A. A., Siddiqui, Y., Siddique, K. H. M., et al. (2024). Minimizing postharvest food losses: A vital strategy to alleviate food insecurity and malnutrition in developing nations: A review. *Discover Food*, 4(1), 145.
- Kiaya, V. (2014). Post-harvest losses and strategies to reduce them. Action Contre la Faim (ACF). Technical paper, Scientific & Technical Department, January 2014.
- Kitinoja, L., Alviar, M. R., & Maghirang, R. (2020). Postharvest technology for developing countries. In *Postharvest Management and Quality Preservation of Horticultural Produce* (pp. 1-14). Springer.
- Opara, U. L. (2020). *Postharvest management of fresh produce: From farm to table*. Academic Press.
- Phillips, T. W., Throne, J. E., & Reed, C. (2019). Insect Management for Food Storage and Processing. *Journal of Insect Science*, 19(4), 741-755.
- Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE). (2020). *Sustainable Agriculture: Ecological Storage Practices*.
- World Health Organization. (2024). Sanitation: Key facts. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sanitation>